EXHIBIT E

1

Status Conference, 04/24/2009

94ormtbc 01: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 01: SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 03: IN RE: METHYL TERTIARY BUTYL Master File C.A. 03: ETHER ("MTBE") PRODUCTS No. 1:00 00-1898 (SAS) 04: LIABILITY LITIGATION 05: -----x 05: New York, N.Y. 06: April 24, 2009 06: 10:30 a.m. 07: 07: 08: Before: 08: HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN 09: 09: District Judge 10: 10: 11: 11: 12: **APPEARANCES** 12: 13: 13: SHER LEF LLP Co-lead Counsel for Plaintiffs 14: BY: VICTOR M. SHER 15: 15: 16: MICHAEL A. CARDOZO Corporation Counsel for the City of New York 16: Attorney for City Plaintiffs 17: SUSAN E. AMRON 18: DANIEL GREENE

Page 1

Assistant Corporation Counsel

18:

19:

Status Conference, 04/24/2009

63

94ormtbc

- 01: back off.
- 02: THE COURT: In some ways I am troubled, I was
- 03: troubled. We haven't even talked about change in capture
- 04: zones. That's why I keep trying to pin Mr. Greene down as to
- 05: what in the world is the report. There are so many changes
- 06: with respect to the new analysis of 5 and 22 that you need to
- 07: commit. If you're saying we are abandoning the initial report
- 08: and this rebuttal report is now our report, I have to think
- 09: about that. It's very different than the initial.
- 10: MR. GREENE: We are not saying that, your Honor. This
- 11: is confusing from reading their chart. The only analysis for 5
- 12: and 22 that was done is the drought simulation. He didn't
- 13: rerun ATRANS.
- 14: THE COURT: I know he didn't rerun ATRANS. You're
- 15: saying all the other things I pointed out, such as the change
- 16: in capture zone, the additional sites, eliminating sites,
- 17: that's all part of the drought analysis?
- 18: MR. GREENE: Yes, that's correct, it's all part of the
- 19: drought analysis.
- 20: THE COURT: How about the changing of the data inputs,
- 21: like unsaturated thickness, velocity, heads, saturated
- 22: thickness, MTBE percentage values, MTBE contaminants? These
- 23: seem changed too.
- 24: MR. GREENE: I can take those one at a time.
- 25: THE COURT: Just tell me whether those changes are SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Status Conference, 04/24/2009

64

94ormtbc

- 01: part of the drought.
- 02: MR. GREENE: Part of the drought. Everything for 5
- 03: and 22 was part of the drought stimulation, everything. The
- 04: original analysis, the ATRANS analysis. If you read his
- 05: conclusion in his rebuttal, his recommendation for 5 and 22 is
- 06: still based on the ATRANS analysis. The drought simulation
- 07: is --
- 08: THE COURT: Wait. All these changes that I have on
- 09: this quick list, all those different things, the additional
- 10: sites, the eliminating sites, the change in the capture zone,
- 11: all the rest of it only relates to the drought analysis?
- 12: MR. GREENE: Yes. And the capture zone changes
- 13: because it is a different pumping scenario. If its a steady-
- 14: state, there is more of a circular --
- 15: THE COURT: You're saying there is no change, in
- 16: essence, to the initial opinion and initial model under ATRANS;
- 17: it's just that if you change completely to the intermittent
- 18: pumping drought analysis, everything changes, it's a different
- 19: way of looking at things?
- 20: MR. GREENE: I think that's correct. Yes, that's
- 21: correct. The only thing I would say is this gets a little bit
- 22: scrambled because there are certain corrections, errata
- 23: corrections to be made.
- 24: THE COURT: That's true.
- 25: MR. GREENE: We would like him to have the opportunity SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300